

Minutes of the 280th meeting of KWA held on 24/4/2006 at 3.00 p.m. and 25/4/2006 at 10.30 a.m. in the Chamber of Chairman, KWA & Principal Secretary to Government, Water Resources Department, Govt. Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram.

Members Present:

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Sri. K. J. Mathew IAS | Chairman |
| 2. Sri. K.N.Murali | Member |
| 3. Sri. T.P.Mohanlal | Managing Director |
| 4. Sri. C. Suresh Babu | Technical Member |
| 5. Sri. V.K.Girijavallabhan, IA&AS | Accounts Member |

Item No. I (1) Confirmation of the Minutes of the 279th Meeting held on 23/3/2006.

The Authority confirmed the Minutes of the 279th meeting of Kerala Water Authority held on 23rd March 2006.

Item No. I (2) Review of action taken on the decision of previous meetings.

The Authority noted the contents.

Item No. II (1) JBIC Assisted Kerala Water Supply Project-Physical and Financial Achievement- Progress Report for February 2006

The Authority noted the contents.

Item No. II (2) KWA-JBIC Assisted Kerala Water Supply Project-Tender for the work of Analysis, Design, Development, Supply, Installation, Commissioning, Training and Maintenance of Project Monitoring System (PMS) Software for KWA-Evaluation of tenders-Approval.

Res. No. 7140 The Authority resolved to accept the offer of M/s. TCS, Kochi for the work of 'Analysis, Design, Development, Supply, Installation, Commissioning, Training and Maintenance of Project Monitoring System (PMS) Software' at a cost of Rs. 49,50,000/- (Rupees Forty nine lakhs and fifty thousand only) subject to the condition that no payment shall be made to the Consultant M/s. TEC if there is any specific provision for development of MIS, in the Consultancy Agreement.

Item No. II (3) JBIC Assisted Kerala Water Supply Project- Tender for ICB Package-II- Treated Water Transmission Main for Cherthala Scheme-Tender No.26/2004-05/CE-JBIC dated 07-10-2005-Approval.

Res. No. 7141 The Authority considered the proposal for award of Package-II works of Cherthala Scheme to the lowest evaluated bidder M/s. IVRCL Infrastructures and Projects Ltd., Hyderabad and the reasons given for the excess over estimate. The lowest evaluated bid recommended for award is 15% above estimate where as the second lowest bidder has quoted an exorbitantly high rate of 113% above estimate. Considering all these aspects, the Authority resolved to recommend the proposal to the Empowered Committee in Government for acceptance of the bid of M/s. IVRCL @ Rs. 80,98,62,946.00, subject to the concurrence of JBIC.

Item No. II (4) JBIC Assisted Kerala Water Supply Project- Tender for ICB Package-I - for Thiruvananthapuram, Meenad, Cherthala, Kozhikode and Pattuvam –Award of contracts-Approval sought.

Res. No. 7142 The Authority considered in detail the Agenda Note on the proposal for awarding the contracts for ICB Package-I for Thiruvananthapuram, Meenadu, Cherthala, Kozhikkode and Pattuvam schemes and noted the following:

The Scope of work of each scheme (except Thiruvananthapuram) under Package-I, consists of design, construction, erection, testing and commissioning of intake works and Raw Water Pumping Stations, supplying and laying Raw Water Pumping Mains and construction of modern Water Treatment Plants based on international specification, on a design and build basis. The scope of work also comprises treated water pumping stations, balancing tanks, mechanical, electrical and instrumentation, control and automation systems to achieve the specified performance of the plant. The operation and maintenance of the plant for 2 years after completion, including training of employer's personnel is also to be done by the contractor. In the case of Thiruvananthapuram, no new intake works are to be constructed and the raw water and treated water pumps will be installed in the existing pump houses with necessary modifications and hence the main item in this Package is Water Treatment Plant, with only a short pipe line for

pumping raw water, and improvement to existing substation, pump delivery etc.

The Authority also noted the evaluation procedure as specified in the tenders.

The Authority then observed the following with respect to the bids of individual schemes.

1. Thiruvananthapuram

(Tender No. 21/2004-05/CE/JBIC, dated: 07/2/2005)

M/s. IVRCL JV had submitted the lowest bid, but the Consultants found that their bid was substantially non-complaint on several aspects and these have been elaborately brought out in the Consultant's evaluation report. These include design of filters, clarifiers, chemical plant etc. which are critical components of the Treatment Plant. None of the JV Partners were also found to possess the specified experience in the type of plant offered. The quoted second lowest bidder is M/s. Degremont Consortium, France and their bid is found to be substantially compliant and is also the lowest evaluated bid. The second lowest evaluated bidder is M/s. VA Tech.

The bid analysis report for Package-I for Thiruvananthapuram scheme has already been forwarded to the JBIC and concurrence to the same has already been given by the JBIC on 14/3/2006. The Authority also noted that the Kerala Water Authority has conducted a two-stage clarification meeting with the lowest evaluated bidder and the bid price has been reduced from Rs. 58,54,76,190.00 to Rs. 55,50,00,000.00.

2. Meenad

(Tender No. 23/2004-05/CE/JBIC, dated: 07/2/2005)

As in the case of Thiruvananthapuram, M/s. IVRCL JV had quoted the lowest amount for this tender. But, as in the case of Thiruvananthapuram, on almost identical grounds, the bid is found to be substantially non-compliant by the Consultants. The second lowest bidder M/s. Kirloskar JV has submitted a substantially compliant bid and their bid is evaluated as the lowest compliant

bid also. The Bid Analysis Report of Meenad Package-I has been concurred by the JBIC on 06/3/2006. As a result of the clarification meetings held with the bidder as explained under Thiruvananthapuram also, the bid price has been reduced from Rs. 69,99,99,650.00 to Rs. 68,59,95,658.00.

3. Cherthala

(Tender No. 25/2004-05/CE/JBIC, dated: 07/10/2005)

Two bids were obtained for this Package and both are substantially compliant. The bid of M/s. VA Tech Wabag Ltd., JV is the lowest evaluated bid and that of M/s. Salcon JV is the second lowest evaluated bid. The Authority noted that a complaint has been submitted by M/s. Salcon alleging that M/s. VA Tech have changed the composition of the JV at the time of submission of the bid from the JV which was pre-qualified. Also, M/s. VA Tech Wabag GmbH Austria was bought over by another company and de-listed from the Austrian Stock Exchange.

The Authority noted the following remarks contained in the Agenda Note with respect to the above matter. During the preliminary bid evaluation, the Consultants had pointed out an omission in the JV composition and the bidder had clarified and rectified the omission. As per this, there is no change in the JV composition as compared to the pre-qualified status. Also copy of the correct JV agreement submitted during pre-qualification has also been furnished. Later a new JV agreement was also furnished.

The Authority found that M/s. VA Tech have furnished a letter dated: 20/4/2006 regarding the present status of the company and no information to disqualify the bidder could be observed.

The Authority also noted that the Package-I bids for Cherthala were invited much later and the Bid Evaluation Report has not been forwarded to the JBIC yet.

As a result of the clarification meetings with M/s. VA Tech, the quoted amount has been reduced from Rs. 192,64,78,061.30 to Rs. 186,62,76,109.30 for the alternative bid (with the pump combination which carried a deduction of Rs. 2,75,00,000.00 compared to the combination in the tender specification).

4. Kozhikkode

(Tender No. 27/2004-05/CE/JBIC, dated: 07/2/2005)

The following bids were evaluated as substantially compliant, as per the Bid Evaluation Report of the Consultants.

1. M/s. VA Tech Wagab JV (L1)
2. M/s. Salcon JV (L2)
3. M/s. Degremont Consortium (L3)

The Authority was informed that M/s. VA Tech Wabag had furnished two alternative offers also along with the main bid. The alternative 1 comprises mechanical dewatering of sludge aided by polyelectrolyte, in place of the specified lagoons. According to the Consultants, the technology is unsuitable and hence this alternative was not accepted.

Alternative 2 comprises re-located lagoons. It has been proposed to re-locate one of the lagoons thereby making a significant saving.

In arriving at the evaluated prices, only the main offer of M/s. VA Tech had been considered by the Consultant though the alternative was found feasible. The alternative offer with re-located lagoons is lower by Rs. 14,50,00,000.00. Since VA Tech was evaluated the lowest bidder with the main offer, the alternative offer was also considered during the clarification stage. The Authority was informed that in the Bid Analysis Report forwarded to JBIC also, the evaluated bid amounts were based on the main offer of VA Tech. It was stated in the Bid Analysis Report sent to the JBIC that the alternative would be discussed with the bidder at negotiation stage. Concurrence of the JBIC has been obtained for the Bid Analysis Report with M/s. VA Tech Wabag as lowest evaluated L1 Bidder, on 13/04/2006.

The Authority also noted that the recommendations of the Consultants for award of the work was not for the lowest evaluated bidder M/s. VA Tech, but for the second lowest evaluated bidder M/s. Salcon. This recommendation had not been accepted by the Technical Committee of Kerala Water Authority and the same had also been informed to the JBIC, while seeking concurrence for the Bid Analysis Report. The grounds for not accepting the Consultants' recommendations were the following.

- (i) The power consumption guarantee given by M/s. Salcon in their tender was 410 kWh/ml. As per the Consultants' report, the same has been used to calculate the evaluated price, as per tender conditions. According to them the consumption figure of 410 kWh/ml however, does not represent a realistic estimate of the power consumption for their proposed plant.
- (ii) During tender clarification, Salcon JV offered to revise the guaranteed energy consumption based on modified pump selection. Although this revised guarantee cannot be used to assess the tender, the Consultant recommended that Kerala Water Authority accept this lower figure.
- (iii) Again, as per the Consultant's report, as per the revised pump and system curves, the average power input for the raw water pump station would be 1862 kW in the case of Salcon, (compared to 1968 kW of VA Tech) which would offer reduced operating costs. In terms of kWh/ML, the post tender power consumption guarantee given by Salcon is 293 kWh/ml against original guarantee by Salcon of 410 kWh/ml and by VA Tech of 292.83 kWh/ml.
- (iv) According to the Technical Committee, the post -tender offer of reduced power consumption guarantee based on modified pump selection cannot be accepted as it is against tender conditions ~~and~~ as it would affect unfairly the competitive position of other tenders who submitted substantially compliant tenders.

During discussion of the agenda note on the item, the Board examined the complaints made by M/s. Salcon and enquired about ~~the~~ a revised guarantee of 324 kWh/ml and 293 kWh/ml offered by M/s. Salcon as stated in their complaint. This was explained as follows:

After preliminary examination of the tenders, the Consultants had requested for seeking clarifications from all the bidders on a number of points noted by them and such clarifications were sought in accordance with the tender conditions. In their clarification letter dated, 15/10/2005 to the queries on those matters, M/s. Salcon had enclosed a revised figure of 324 kWh/ml for the power guarantee in one place and a revised figure of 293 kWh/ml in another place of the same document based on modified pump configuration. Both these figures were not in response to any specific queries from the Consultants, but enclosed suo-motto along with replies to the queries sought.

It is stated in the letter that, if the revised pump selection proposed therein is acceptable then, the guarantee of electrical power consumed will be much lower. It is not obvious from the letter whether both the revised figures 324 kWh/ml and 293 kWh/ml stated in enclosures were for revised pump configuration. The Consultants in their evaluation report has stated only the revised figure of 293 kWh/ml. However, the figure of 410 kWh/ml was used by them for arriving at the evaluated prices. It was also explained that there was no evidence of any typographical mistake in specifying the guarantee as 410 kWh/MI in the original tender.

It was noted by the Authority that even after assuming the value of 324 kWh/ml or 293 kWh/ml for M/s. Salcon's offer, the accepted alternative offer of VA Tech would still be the lowest evaluated bid.

The Authority also sought the views of the Consultants on the matter by summoning Mr. Kris Prasad (who was holding charge of Team Leader) and Mr. R.Rangabashiam, the Deputy Team Leader. Both expressed the view that the stand adopted by the Technical Committee was the proper course of action. There was nothing in the tender conditions which would enable the revised guarantee figure of M/s. Salcon to be taken into account. As regards the figure of 324 kWh/ml or 293 kWh/ml, they did not entertain any doubt and that these figures submitted during tender evaluation cannot be considered as per the tender conditions.

The Authority also noted that as a result of the two stage negotiation carried out by the Kerala Water Authority, the recommended contract price for the (alternative offer of M/s. VA Tech) is Rs. 116,20,95,897.00 against the original amount of Rs. 121,29,36,010.00.

5. Pattuvam

(Tender No. 30/2004-05/CE/JBIC, dated: 07/2/2005)

In respect of Pattuvam Package I, the lowest and second lowest bids were submitted by M/s. IVRCL JV and M/s. Gammon respectively, but they were evaluated by the Consultants to be substantially non-compliant on several critical aspects. M/s. Gammon have also not responded favorably to the issue of

substantially undersized lagoon which has a significant effect on quoted prices. The lowest evaluated bid was the one submitted by M/s. Kirloskar JV. There was one more bid from M/s. L&T, but their offer price was much higher and they did not respond to clarification queries and hence, the bid was considered non-compliant by the Consultant.

The JBIC concurrence for this Package is being moved. As a result of the clarification meeting with M/s. Kirloskar, the quoted prices has been reduced from Rs. 112,64,48,675.00 to Rs. 106,48,28,971.00.

The Authority verified the reasons why the lowest quoted bids for Thiruvananthapuram and Meenad and the first and second lowest quoted bids of Pattuvam were evaluated as substantially non-compliant and agreed with the views of the Consultant and the Technical Committee in this regard.

Referring to the revision of estimates mentioned in the Agenda Notes, the Managing Director explained the estimating procedure adopted for design and build contracts, and stated that very realistic estimation is not possible for such works because qualification of various items will depend on the individual designs adopted for the tenders by various bidders. The initial estimates will therefore generally be based on thumb rules. The Consultants had arrived at improved estimates for the package after the tenders are received, and the same were used for comparison of the quoted rates. The Managing Director also informed that in the JBIC assisted project only Package-I contracts are tendered on design and build basis, and all other packages are based on design prepared by the Consultants. Based on this clarification by the Managing Director, ~~it was decided~~ ^{the Board decided} to include the detail reasons for estimate revisions in the Agenda note for the Empowered Committee.

The following is the summary of recommendations made in the Agenda Note for award of contracts for the five Package-I works and the current estimated cost of the packages.

Scheme	Name of Bidder	Estimated Cost including provisional sum (INR)	Recommended Contract price including provisional sum (INR)	% of Excess over Estimate
Thiruvananthapuram	M/s. Consortium Degremont, France	42,43,15,122.00	55,50,00,000.00	30.80
Meenad	M/s. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Pune	58,57,45,582.00	68,59,99,658.00	17.12
Cherthala	M/s. VA Tech Wabag Ltd., India	141,17,54,870.00	186,62,76,109.00	32.20
Kozhikkode	M/s. VA Tech Wabag Ltd., India	100,07,70,618.00	116,20,95,897.00	16.12
Pattuvam	M/s. Kirloskar Brothers Ltd., Pune	90,37,32,357.00	106,48,28,971.00	17.83
Grand Total		432,63,18,549.00	533,42,00,635.00	23.29

Considering all the above aspects, the Authority resolved to recommend to the Empowered Committee in Government for considering award of the contract for the Package-I work of the five schemes as given in the above table subject to the concurrence of the JBIC for Cherthala and Pattuvam, and also subject to the condition that a further review of the prices shall be conducted with regard to Thiruvananthapuram and Cherthala by seeking additional clarifications from the lowest evaluated bidder M/s. Degrementeont and M/s. VA Tech respectively as the Authority felt that the recommended prices were on the higher side. The results of such review shall also be included in the agenda note presented to the Empowered Committee in Government.

Item No. II (5) JBIC Assisted Kerala Water Supply Project- Tender for LCB Package-III - for Thiruvananthapuram, Cherthala, and Pattuvam – Award of contracts-Approval sought

and

Item No. S VII (1) JBIC Assisted Kerala Water Supply Project – Tenders for Package-III - works of Meenad and Kozhikkode – Evaluation Report – Approval sought.

Res. No. 7143

The Authority considered in detail the Agenda Notes on the proposal for awarding contracts of LCB Package-III for Thiruvananthapuram, Cherthala, Pattuvam, Meenadu and Kozhikkode schemes and the Bid Evaluation Reports of the Consultants, on these Packages as per the Agenda items noted above.

The Authority also noted the results of clarification/negotiation meetings carried out with the lowest evaluated bidders of the five packages. The recommendation for award of contracts for the five Packages are as follows:

Sl. No	Name of Scheme	Name of L1 Bidder	Estimated cost (Rs.)	Recommended Contract Price (Rs.)	% Excess
1	Thiruvananthapuram	M/s. Electrosteel Castings Ltd	109,67,75,781.00	104,15,00,000.00	-5.03
2	Meenad	M/s. K. Ramakrishna Contractors (P) Ltd	66,54,06,072.00	64,26,95,514.72	-3.41
3	Cherthala	M/s. IVRCL Infrastructures & Projects Ltd	58,11,94,609.00	72,36,40,273.69	24.51
4	Kozhikkode	M/s. K. Ramakrishna Contractors (P) Ltd	148,44,03,252.00	148,33,88,414.00	-0.06
5	Pattuvam	M/s. K. Ramakrishna Contractors (P) Ltd	65,23,00,287.00	62,18,22,293.45	-4.67
Grand Total			448,00,80,001.00	451,30,46,495.86	0.74

The Authority observed that except in the case of Cherthala scheme, the recommended contract prices are below the estimates. M/s. K.Ramakrishna Contractors (P) Ltd., Hyderabad who are the lowest evaluated bidder for Meenad, Kozhikkode and Pattuvam packages have been evaluated as having the required financial capacity to undertake the three contracts.

In the case of the Cherthala Package, the Authority observed that only a single tender has been received and the recommended contract price is very high when compared with the recommended bids for Package-III of the other schemes. After noting the reasons for the excess quoted as stated in the Agenda note, the Authority expressed the necessity for a further review of the prices and

seeking clarifications from the bidder. The acceptability of single tender as per guidelines will be further examined.

Taking into account all aspects, the Authority resolved to recommend to the Empowered Committee in Government for considering award of contracts for the Package-III works of Thiruvananthapuram, Meenad, Kozhikkode and Pattuvam schemes at the recommended contract prices as noted in the table above subject to JBIC concurrence.

While considering the Agenda notes, the Authority noted that the tender prices of Meenad and Kozhikkode Package-III had to be corrected substantially for arithmetic errors with the result that final quoted prices of the recommended bids have increased by a substantial amount for these two Packages. The Authority therefore directed the Accounts Member to verify in details the procedures followed for the handling of tender documents and tender evaluation and a report on this shall also be included as part of the Agenda note presented for the Empowered Committee meeting.

Item No. III (1) Replacing 700 mm Premo pumping main - supplying and laying 700 mm dia BWSC pipe from Naval Base, Travancore gate to Bristow Road, Kochi.

Res. No. 7144 The Authority resolved to accord sanction for arranging the work of replacing the 700 mm Premo pumping main with 700 mm dia BWSC pipe from Kochi Naval Base Travancore Gate to Bristow Road under 'supplying and laying' basis and to award the work to the lowest tenderer M/s. Indian Hume Pipe Company Ltd., at their quoted rate of Rs. 1,80,49,454/- (Rupees One Crore eighty lakh forty nine thousand four hundred and fifty four only). It is further resolved to ratify the action of Chief Engineer (CR) in having invited tenders in anticipation of formal approval from the Authority considering the urgency.

Item No. III (2) BAWSS to Kundara and adjoining Panchayats – supply and erection of transformers and pump sets at Punalur and Kunnicode – Works entrusted with M/s. Davidsons Agencies, Thevally, Kollam

Deferred.

Item No. III (3) Improvements to Water Supply Scheme to Guruvayur and adjoining areas-winding up the existing financial assistance from the LIC and move for new Administrative sanction and financial assistance.

Res. No. 7145 The Authority resolved to recommend to Government for new Administrative Sanction for the improvement of Water Supply Scheme to Guruvayoor and adjoining areas for an estimated amount of Rs. 34.00 crores after closing the scope of LIC assisted project of Rs. 23.10 crores. The unspent amount of Rs. 313.21 lakhs out of Rs. 320.00 lakhs taken as loan assistance from LIC shall be utilized for some other LIC aided scheme, which are under implementation.

Item No. III (4) Irregularities in the execution of ARWSS to Thodiyoor.

Res. No. 7146 The Authority resolved that the combined liabilities fixed for Rs. 1,80,975/- by the Chief Engineer, Southern Region, Kerala Water Authority may be recovered in the ratio of 50:50 from the contractor Sri. N.Vinodlal and the former officers namely the then Executive Engineer late Sri. M.M.Mustafa (Deputy Chief Engineer, Retd.), the then Assistant Executive Engineer Sri. C.N.Sasidharan (Chief Engineer, Retd.) and the then Assistant Engineer Sri. R.Janardhanan Pillai (Executive Engineer, Retd.). The 50% of the liability on the part of former officers shall be equally borne by them.

Item No. III (5) Implementation of LIC Aided Palakkuzha Water Supply Scheme in Ernakulam District – Jalanidhi Project of KRWSA.

Res. No. 7147 The Authority resolved to hand over the subject scheme – Palakkuzha Water Supply Scheme which was taken up for implementation by Kerala Water Authority with LIC loan assistance to KRWSA for implementation and to utilize the fund allotted to the scheme from LIC to another LIC scheme.

Item No. III (6) Tender No. KWA/HO/SP-8/2005-06 opened on 09.08.2005 for the supply of CID joints on Rate Contract basis-Sanction sought for concluding Rate Contract.

Res. No. 7148 The Authority resolved to conclude Rate Contract with M/s. Eastern Engineering Corporation, Howrah, Bikaner Engineering (foundry) Works, Jaipur, and M/s. Steel Industrials Kerala Limited, Ottappalam for the supply of C.I.D.Joints for the items for which

they had offered the lowest rates, at the rates shown below for one year from the date of order subject to the condition that the total purchase value on this Rate Contract will not exceed Rs. 24.00 lakhs.

M/s. M/s.Eastern Engineering Corporation, Howrah

<u>Items</u>	<u>Rate/ Each</u>
1. 200 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 430/-
2. 200 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 450/-
3. 200 mm CID joints Class15	Rs. 450/-
4. 250 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 575/-
5. 250 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 585/-
6. 250 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 596/-
7. 300 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 712/-
8. 300 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 720/-
9. 300 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 740/-
10.350 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 1165/-
11.350 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 1165/-
12.350 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 1240/-
13.400 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 1382/-
14.400 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 1382/-
15.400 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 1450/-
16.450 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 1610/-
17.450 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 1610/-
18.450 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 1755/-
19.500 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 2425/-
20.500 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 2425/-
21.500 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 2565/-

M/s.Bikaner Engineering (Foundry) Works, Jaipur

1. 600 mm CID joints Class 5	Rs. 3899.61/-
2. 600 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 3899.61/-
3. 600 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 4185.97/-

M/s.Steel Industrials Kerala Limited, Ottappalam

1. 225 mm CID joints Class 10	Rs. 713/-
2. 225 mm CID joints Class 15	Rs. 741/-

Item No. IV (1) Absorption/Regularization of Smt. Elsy, PT Sweeper and creation of one post of Part Time Sweeper.

Res. No. 7149 The Authority resolved to absorb Smt. Elsy into Regular Establishment as PT Contingent Sweeper with effect from 18/6/2001, ie, three years preceding the date of judgment of the Hon'ble High Court, based on the direction contained in the GO (P) No. 501/2005/Fin, dated: 25/11/2005 and to create one post of PT Sweeper for accommodating Smt. Elsy

Item No. V (1) GCDA – International Stadium at Kaloor-Transfer of land between Kerala Water Authority and GCDA.

The Authority noted the contents on this item and directed the Managing Director to place before the Authority a detailed note on the issue of transfer of Kerala Water Authority land to GCDA along with the following details:

1. The decision of Kerala Water Authority to part with the land to GCDA.
2. The Government Order relating to the transfer.
3. The details of agreement arrived at with the GCDA, how it was arrived and how implemented.

Item No. V (2) All India Exhibition at Kollam conducted by Kerala State Co-operative Sector – setting up Kerala Water Authority pavilion – ratification.

Res. No. 7150 The Authority resolved not to ratify the action of the Superintending Engineer, PH Circle, Kollam in having participated in the All India Exhibition in connection with the Co-operative centenary celebrations by setting up pavilion showing model of Kerala Water Authority's Water Supply Scheme at Sabarimala and a working model of rainwater harvesting at a total cost of Rs. 1,45,000.00 (Rupees One lakh and forty five thousand only)

Item No. V (3) ഇംഗ്ലീഷ് ദിനപത്രങ്ങൾക്ക് വാട്ടർ അതോറിറ്റിയുടെ പരസ്യങ്ങൾ നൽകുന്ന ഏജൻസിയുടെ ലിസ്റ്റിൽ യൂണിക് കമ്യൂണിക്കേഷൻസിന് വീണ്ടും ലിസ്റ്റിൽ പ്രവേശനം നൽകുന്നതിന് അനുമതി നൽകുന്നതു സംബന്ധിച്ച്

Res. No. 7151 ഇംഗ്ലീഷ് ദിനപത്രങ്ങൾക്ക് വാട്ടർ അതോറിറ്റിയുടെ പരസ്യങ്ങൾ നൽകുന്ന ഏജൻസിയുടെ ലിസ്റ്റിൽ യൂണിക് കമ്മ്യൂണിക്കേഷൻസിന് വീണ്ടും പ്രവേശനം നൽകുവാൻ അതോറിറ്റി തീരുമാനിച്ചു.

Item No. V (4) ഇംഗ്ലീഷ് ദിനപത്രങ്ങൾക്ക് വാട്ടർ അതോറിറ്റിയുടെ പരസ്യങ്ങൾ നൽകുന്ന ഏജൻസിയുടെ ലിസ്റ്റിൽ വർണചിത്ര അഡാർടെസിംഗ് ആന്റ് മാർക്കറ്റിംഗിന് വീണ്ടും ലിസ്റ്റിൽ പ്രവേശനം നൽകുന്നതിന് അനുമതി നൽകുന്നതു സംബന്ധിച്ച്.

Res. No. 7152 ഇംഗ്ലീഷ് ദിനപത്രങ്ങൾക്ക് വാട്ടർ അതോറിറ്റിയുടെ പരസ്യങ്ങൾ നൽകുന്ന ഏജൻസിയുടെ ലിസ്റ്റിൽ വർണചിത്ര അഡാർടെസിംഗ് ആന്റ് മാർക്കറ്റിംഗിന് വീണ്ടും പ്രവേശനം നൽകുവാൻ അതോറിറ്റി തീരുമാനിച്ചു.

Item No. V (5) Request from Veekshnam daily – giving sufficient advertisement from Kerala Water Authority.

Res. No. 7153 The Authority resolved to empanel the Trivandrum, Kochi and Kozhikkode editions of the 'Veekshnam daily' in the media list of Kerala Water Authority under the PRD rates. (less 15%)


SECRETARY


MANAGING DIRECTOR


CHAIRMAN