



Fax: 91-0471-2324903

Tel: 0471-2328654

KERALA WATER AUTHORITY

Jalabhavan
Thiruvananthapuram-695033
Kerala

No. 16054/AE2(TC)/2020/KWA

Dated: 08.02.2021

U.O. NOTE

Sub:- Uploading filled feedback forms in KWA Website - Reg

Ref:- Minutes of the meeting convened by the Managing Director on 10/07/2020.

Please find attached herewith, the feedback received regarding the classroom training on "EPANET" conducted by the CWEd on 28/01/2021 and 29/01/2021 for publishing in the website at the earliest.

Saji v
Deputy Chief Engineer(GL)

To

The Data Base Administrator,
Kerala Water Authority,
Jalabhavan, Vellayambalam.

The document is digitally approved. Hence signature is not needed.





KERALA WATER AUTHORITY
TRAINING CENTRE
(CENTRE FOR WATER EDUCATION)

ONLINECOURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Participants,

Your feedback on the Training Programme provides scope for further development and improvement in the course content. Success of Training Activities are measured by the feedback received from stakeholders. You may give your valuable feedback in the space beside/below each aspect and forward by e mail to eetrainingkwa@gmail.com.

Name of Programme: EPA NET

Date and Time: 28/1/2021 & 29.01.2021

A. About arrangements of the programme (Please mark)

1. How did you come about to know about the programme?

- A. From Office e-mail
- B. From Head of Office
- C. From Office staff
- D. From other contacts ✓

2. How many days in advance were you intimated about the programme?

- A. More than 2 weeks
- B. 10-14days
- C. 5-9 days
- ✓ D. 1-4 days



3. Which factor encouraged your nomination for the programme?

- A. Application in the present job
- B. Application in future jobs
- C. Preparation for Departmental Examination
- D. To improve the knowledge/skill level

4. How many days in advance were you intimated about confirmation of your nomination?

- A. More than 2 weeks
- B. 10-14days
- C. 5-9 days
- D. 1-4 days

5. Please provide scope for improvements on the above aspects

B. About execution of the programme

(Please mark in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Duration of Programme

5 4 3 2 1

2. Relevance of the Programme to you

5 4 3 2 1

3. Course content

5 4 3 2 1

4. Quality of online presentation

5 4 3 2 1



5. Please provide remarks for improvements on the above aspects

Increase duration of the program.

C. About the Trainer

(Please mark ✓ in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Verbal communication

5 4 3 2 1

2. Non-verbal communication

5 4 3 2 1

3. Imparting sufficient knowledge about the subject

5 4 3 2 1

4. Improving your skills on the subject

5 4 3 2 1

5. Elevating attitude towards executing subject work

5 4 1

6. Overall coverage of subject

5 4 1

7. Interaction with the participants during the programme, including clarifying queries

5 4 3 2 1

8. In a scale of 10, how would you rate the trainer, based on the above aspects? 9



9. Please provide scope for improvements on the above aspects

D. General aspects

(Please mark \checkmark in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Sequencing of the sessions

5 4 3 2 1

2. Study materials provided

5 4 3 2 1

3. Achieving your expectations about the programme

5 4 3 2 1

4. Aspects which were most useful in the Programme

5. Any other remarks related to the programme



Signature

Please provide your Name, Designation and Office (Optional)

Gugur S, Surveyor. Govt, PPD Camp office Kollam



For further actions at Training Centre

E.Post Training Activities





KERALA WATER AUTHORITY
TRAINING CENTRE
(CENTRE FOR WATER EDUCATION)

ONLINE COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Participants,

Your feedback on the Training Programme provides scope for further development and improvement in the course content. Success of Training Activities are measured by the feedback received from stakeholders. You may give your valuable feedback in the space beside/below each aspect and forward by e mail to eetrainingkwa@gmail.com.

Name of Programme:

Date and Time:

A. About arrangements of the programme (Please mark)

1. How did you come about to know about the programme?

- A. From Office e-mail
- B. From Head of Office
- C. From Office staff
- D. From other contacts

2. How many days in advance were you intimated about the programme?

- A. More than 2 weeks
- B. 10-14 days
- C. 5-9 days
- D. 1-4 days



3. Which factor encouraged your nomination for the programme?

- A. Application in the present job
- B. Application in future jobs
- C. Preparation for Departmental Examination
- D. To improve the knowledge/skill level

4. How many days in advance were you intimated about confirmation of your nomination?

- A. More than 2 weeks
- B. 10-14days
- C. 5-9 days
- D. 1-4 days

5. Please provide scope for improvements on the above aspects

The Programme is very useful to me for the further job.

B. About execution of the programme

(Please mark in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Duration of Programme

5 4 3 2 1

2. Relevance of the Programme to you

5 4 3 2 1

3. Course content

5 4 3 2 1

4. Quality of online presentation

5 4 3 2 1



5. Please provide remarks for improvements on the above aspects

Provide more time & study materials.

C. About the Trainer

(Please mark ✓ in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Verbal communication

5 4 3 2 1

2. Non-verbal communication

5 4 3 2 1

3. Imparting sufficient knowledge about the subject

5 4 3 2 1

4. Improving your skills on the subject

5 4 3 2 1

5. Elevating attitude towards executing subject work

5 4

6. Overall coverage of subject

5 4 1

7. Interaction with the participants during the programme, including clarifying queries

5 4 3 2 1

8. In a scale of 10, how would you rate the trainer, based on the above aspects?

10



9. Please provide scope for improvements on the above aspects

*Give more time for the training to
completely the programme*

D. General aspects

(Please mark \surd in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Sequencing of the sessions

5 4 3 2 1

2. Study materials provided

5 4 3 2 1

3. Achieving your expectations about the programme

5 4 3 2 1

4. Aspects which were most useful in the Programme

*This Programme is very use full who
are working in PPD way.*

5. Any other remarks related to the programme

*Give this training to all Engineers &
who are working in connection with
Profed & PPD*



Signature

Please provide your Name, Designation and Office
(Optional)

Rajiv S.B, AR, PPD, Kollam



For further actions at Training Centre

E.Post Training Activities





KERALA WATER AUTHORITY
TRAINING CENTRE
(CENTRE FOR WATER EDUCATION)

ONLINE COURSE FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Participants,

Your feedback on the Training Programme provides scope for further development and improvement in the course content. Success of Training Activities are measured by the feedback received from stakeholders. You may give your valuable feedback in the space beside/below each aspect and forward by e mail to eetrainingkwa@gmail.com.

Name of Programme: *Training on "EPANET"*
Date and Time: *28/1/2021 & 29/1/2020 10:30 to 4:30 pm.*

A. About arrangements of the programme (Please mark)

1. How did you come about to know about the programme?
 - A. From Office e-mail
 - B. From Head of Office ✓
 - C. From Office staff
 - D. From other contacts

2. How many days in advance were you intimated about the programme?
 - A. More than 2 weeks
 - B. 10-14days
 - C. 5-9 days
 - D. 1-4 days ✓



3. Which factor encouraged your nomination for the programme?

- A. Application in the present job ✓
- B. Application in future jobs
- C. Preparation for Departmental Examination
- D. To improve the knowledge/skill level

4. How many days in advance were you intimated about confirmation of your nomination?

- A. More than 2 weeks
- B. 10-14days
- C. 5-9 days
- D. 1-4 days ✓

5. Please provide scope for improvements on the above aspects

Give more days

B. About execution of the programme

(Please mark ✓ in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Duration of Programme

5 4 3 2 1

2. Relevance of the Programme to you

5 4 3 2 1

3. Course content

5 4 3 2 1

4. ~~Quality of online presentation~~

5 4 3 2 1

Direct class



5. Please provide remarks for improvements on the above aspects

C. About the Trainer

(Please mark in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Verbal communication

5 4 3 2 1

2. Non-verbal communication

5 4 3 2 1

3. Imparting sufficient knowledge about the subject

5 4 3 2 1

4. Improving your skills on the subject

5 4 3 2 1

5. Elevating attitude towards executing subject work

5 4 1

6. Overall coverage of subject

5 4 1

7. Interaction with the participants during the programme, including clarifying queries

5 4 3 2 1

8. In a scale of 10, how would you rate the trainer, based on the above aspects? 9



9. Please provide scope for improvements on the above aspects

D. General aspects

(Please mark in a scale of 1 to 5)

5-Excellent 4-Very Good 3-Average 2-Poor 1-Very Poor

1. Sequencing of the sessions

5 4 3 2 1

2. Study materials provided

5 4 3 2 1

3. Achieving your expectations about the programme

5 4 3 2 1

4. Aspects which were most useful in the Programme

Practical session was useful.

5. Any other remarks related to the programme

Signature

Please provide your Name, Designation and Office
(Optional)



For further actions at Training Centre

E.Post Training Activities

